

BEST AVAILABLE COPY



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

| | | | |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|
| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. |
| 09/025,395 | 02/18/98 | RYDBECK | N F-4015.100 |

LM41/0728

EXAMINER

BANKS, HAROLD, M.

ART UNIT

2745

PAPER NUMBER

13
07/28/00

DATE MAILED:

DAVID E. BENNETT
RHODES COATS & BENNETT
909 GLENWOOD AVENUE
P.O. BOX 5
RALEIGH NC 27602

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

See Interview Summary

| | | |
|--------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Interview Summary | Application No. 09/025,395 | Applicant(s) RYDBECK ET AL. |
| | Examiner Marsha D. Banks-Harold | Group Art Unit 2745 |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Marsha D. Banks-Harold

(3) _____

(2) Elizabeth Doherty

(4) _____

Date of Interview Jul 27, 2000

Type: Telephonic Personal (copy is given to applicant applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes No. If yes, brief description:

Agreement was reached. was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: None

Identification of prior art discussed:

none

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

An agreement was made that the office action mailed on 7/17/00 was erroneously mailed to the wrong law firm. As a result, Ms. Doherty agreed to return the office action to the examiner and the examiner agreed to have the office action mailed to the appropriate law firm.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Marsha D. Banks-Harold
MARSHA BANKS-HAROLD
PATENT EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.